



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Peacock	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	Ilkley	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 30 March 2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	3	Paragraph	60	Policy	SC4
---------	---	-----------	----	--------	-----

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I question the logic that states that Ilkley should be designated as a 'principal town'. It does not bear comparison in size or function when compared with Keighley and Bingley.

In particular its population is less than 3% of the Bradford District total and It is one-third the size of Keighley

It is not an employment centre, it is mainly a commuter centre and secondly a tourist town mostly for day visits. (Section 2, para 52). A 'spa' town is quoted – this should be a *former* spa town. The town sits on the edge of the district.

Virtually all administrative council services have been removed from the Town Hall – only the TIC remains, together with the library. We are required to travel to Bradford or Keighley to engage with BMDC officers and services. Indeed, these plan documents are available for study at Ilkley Town Hall by appointment only – on Tuesdays.

In the early part of 2013 Bradford MDC declared that the town's museum & gallery in a Grade 1 building situated on the only Roman structure in the District had to move to community management or face closure. The museum is the only informative indoor facility for tourists to visit. This lack of proper support is not compatible with their expressed view that Ilkley is a Principal Town.

There's no hospital or visit-able out of hours emergency medical facility.

Daytime bus services on the route Ilkley to Bradford (giving access to intermediate places) were withdrawn in

October 2010 and a residual evening service was also withdrawn early in 2014.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The designation of Ilkley should be revised and the matters relating to the designation similarly modified.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To reiterate the points made above.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature: 	Date: 30 March 2014
--	----------------------------

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

